



MSD

AstraZeneca



CECOG

Central European Cooperative Oncology Group

A Guide To Genetic Consenting

Module 12: eHealth model

Prof. Clare Turnbull

The information contained within these educational materials is not intended to be professional advice and is not intended to replace personal consultation with a doctor, pharmacist, or other health care professional. The reader should not disregard medical advice or delay seeking it because of something found on this site. This information has been organised and fully funded by AstraZeneca and MSD in collaboration with the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group (CECOG). The views expressed in this publication reflect the experience and opinions of the authors and not necessarily that of MSD / AstraZeneca / CECOG.

Z4-45933

Date of preparation: June 2022

Learning objectives

The primary purpose of this learning module is to inform and educate non-genetics HCPs on genetic consenting.

This module will enable HCPs to:

- Be aware of alternative models of genetic consenting
- Understand how genetic testing can guide treatment decisions in cancer



eHealth genetic counselling provides web-based delivery of genetic education and post-test results disclosure to patients

The eHealth model uses information and communication technologies to provide online genetic counselling services

Online access to genetic testing and counselling for patients with cancer or those at risk for hereditary cancer has the potential to overcome the barriers associated with current models, particularly:

- Limitations to physician time and knowledge
- Accessibility and flexibility¹

Web-based genetic counselling services may include:



Professional videos²



E-learning modules²



Chatbots³



Data collection³



Genetic results delivery⁴

1. Rayes N, et al. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19:648; 2. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04447703> (Accessed May 2022); 3. Kaphingst K, et al. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21:542; 4. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04353973> (Accessed May 2022)



Several studies are evaluating the impact of eHealth genetic counselling on patients with or at high-risk for hereditary cancer

MAGENTA¹

Study aim: To study how well online genetics educational videos with or without pre- and/or post-test telephone genetic counselling work in assessing cancer risk distress in pre-specified groups of breast cancer patients and the relatives of cancer patients

BRCA-DIRECT²

Study aim: To evaluate whether digital delivery of pre-test information for BRCA testing in patients with breast cancer is non-inferior to current standard practice of 1:1 delivery from a healthcare professional, as measured by rate of uptake of the genetic testing

eReach³

Study aim: To determine whether web-based eHealth delivery of pre-test and/or post-test genetic counselling can provide equal or improved cognitive and affective short-term and 6-month outcomes compared to the two-visit SoC delivery model with a genetic counsellor

TARGET⁴

Study aim: To perform user testing of a mobile-friendly patient history collection and genetics education tool, and assess how this web-based genetics tool compares to traditional genetic counselling to provide genetic testing to men with prostate cancer

^aPrimary completion date

SoC=standard of care; TNBC=triple negative breast cancer

1. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02993068> (Accessed Ju;y 2022); 2. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04842799> (Accessed Ju;y 2022); 3. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04353973> (Accessed July 2022); 4. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04447703> (Accessed July2022)



The MAGENTA study will assess the effectiveness of online genetics education in assessing cancer-risk distress in patients with TNBC



Study aim

To study how well online genetics educational videos with or without pre- and/or post-test telephone genetic counselling work in assessing cancer-risk distress in pre-specified groups of breast cancer patients and the relatives of cancer patients



Demographics

Aged ≥ 30 y/o

Participants must meet ≥ 1 of the following criteria:

- BC diagnosis at ≤ 45 y/o
- TNBC diagnosis at ≤ 60 y/o
- Relative that has at least one HRR gene mutation^a
- Relative with OC
- ≥ 2 relatives with BC on the same side of the family, one of which is ≤ 50 y/o
- Male relative with BC

N=4000

Arm A

- Online genetics education and test report
- No telephone genetic counselling

Arm B

- Online genetics education and test report
- Post-test telephone genetic counselling

Arm C

- Online genetics education and test report
- Pre- and post-test telephone genetic counselling

Arm D

- Online genetics education and test report
- Pre-test telephone genetic counselling



Primary outcome

- Mean cancer stress scores^b

^aHRR mutations defined as *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *BARD1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MLH1* or *PMS2*

^bThe Impact of Events Scale is a 15-item self-report measure that assesses subjective distress caused by traumatic events, which may include a positive genetic test result. There are two subscales, intrusion and avoidance, which are used to calculate the total. The range for intrusion is 0–35 and the range for avoidance is 0–40. The range of the total score is 0–75 and subscales are summed to compute the total score. Higher scores reflect more stressful impact and therefore worse outcome

BC=Breast cancer; HRR=homologous recombination repair; N=population sample number; OC=ovarian cancer; TNBC=triple negative breast cancer; y/o=years old

1. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02993068> (Accessed July 2022)



The BRCA-DIRECT study will assess the effectiveness of digital delivery of pre-test information for BRCA testing in patients with breast cancer



Study aim

To evaluate whether the digital delivery of pre-test information for BRCA testing in patients with BC is non-inferior to current standard practice of 1:1 delivery^a from a healthcare professional, as measured by rate of uptake of the genetic testing



Demographics

Aged >18 y/o

Inclusion criteria:

- Female
- Diagnosis of invasive BC or high-grade DCIS

Exclusion criteria:

- Previous testing for BRCA

N=1000

Arm A

- Digital delivery of genetic pre-test information

Arm B

- Genetic counselling telephone appointment to discuss genetic pre-test information



Primary outcome

- Uptake of genetic testing^b



Secondary outcomes

- Knowledge about BRCA testing
- Anxiety following delivery of pre-test information and test results
- Uptake of digital genetic testing
- Time to results^c
- Helpline usage
- HCP satisfaction
- Patient satisfaction



The eReach study will investigate the impact of web-based eHealth delivery of genetic counselling on patients with or at high risk for cancer



Study aim

To determine whether web-based eHealth delivery of pre-test and/or post-test genetic counselling can provide equal or improved cognitive and affective short-term and 6-month outcomes compared to the two-visit SoC delivery model with a genetic counsellor



Demographics

Inclusion criteria:

- Metastatic Breast, Ovarian, Prostate and Pancreatic Cancer

Exclusion criteria:

- Previous germline testing

N=560

Arm A

- Pre-test: SOC 1:1 GC in-person/tele
- Post-test: SOC 1:1 GC in-person/tele

Arm B

- Pre-test: SOC 1:1 GC in-person/tele
- Post-test: web-based

Arm C

- Pre-test: web-based
- Post-test: SOC 1:1 GC in-person/tele

Arm D

- Pre-test: web-based
- Post-test: web-based



Primary outcome

- Change in knowledge
- Change in anxiety



Secondary outcomes

- Change in depression
- Change in cancer-specific distress
- Change in uncertainty
- Change in health behaviours
- Provider time
- Frequency of uptake of testing



The TARGET study will assess the effectiveness of a web-based genetic education tool for providing genetic testing to men with prostate cancer



Study aim

To perform the user testing of a mobile-friendly patient history collection and genetic education tool, and assess how this web-based genetics tool compares to traditional genetic counselling to provide genetic testing to men with prostate cancer



Demographics

Prostate cancer with any of:

- Metastatic disease
- Stage T3a disease or higher
- PSA>20
- Grade 4 disease or higher
- Very low to intermediate NCCN

risk groups with intraductal pathology or FH of PC, BC, OC or Lynch Syndrome

- Gleason ≥ 7 or Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry

N=356

Arm A

- Genetic counselling (in-person or telehealth)
- Genetic testing

Arm B

- Web-based genetic education^b
- Genetic testing



Primary outcome

- User testing of provider tool^a
- Decisional conflict



Secondary outcomes

- Satisfaction with genetic counselling/web-based genetic education
- Cancer genetics knowledge
- Genetic testing uptake

^aWill be assessed for non-inferiority between study arms and evaluated using the O'Connor decisional conflict scale, which captures subscores over 16 questions for uncertainty, feeling of being informed, values clarity, support and effective decision-making on a 5-point Likert scale; ^bPatients may cross-over to Arm 1 to see a genetic counsellor

BC=breast cancer; FH=family history; OC=ovarian cancer; PC=prostate cancer; PSA=prostate specific antigen; y/o=years old
1. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04447703> (Accessed July 2022)



Summary

- The eHealth model uses information and communication technologies to provide online genetic counselling services¹
- Several studies are evaluating the impact of eHealth genetic counselling on patients with or at high-risk for hereditary cancer²⁻⁵

1. Rayes N, et al. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19:648; 2. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02993068> (Accessed July 2022); 3. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04842799> (Accessed July 2022); 4. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04353973> (Accessed July 2022); 5. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04447703> (Accessed July 2022)

